

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO	
09/474,359	12/29/1999	JEFF C. MORRISS	INTL-0294-US 2154		
7.	590 05/19/2003				
TIMOTHY N TROP TROP PRUNER HU & MILES PC 8554 KATY FREEWAY STE 100			EXAMINER		
			KIM, KEVIN		
HOUSTON, T	X 77024		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE		
			2634	K	
	•		DATE MAILED: 05/19/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1//			
Advisory Action	09/474,359	MORRISS, JEFF C.				
,, ,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kevin Y Kim	2634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address -				
THE REPLY FILED 07 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MF	PEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extension the final Office action; or (2) as	n fee under set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	· ·	separate, timely filed am	endment			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		sidered but does NOT pl	ace the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were ne	ewly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disap	proved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
10. Other:						
S. Patent and Trademark Office						





Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant merely repeats the conclusive argument that examiner failed to establish prima facie case of obviousness. Applicant is advised to see the first paragraph of page 4 of the Final rejection for motivational statement. To reiterate, since Tamura et al does not teach the characteristics of the output signal from the phase comparator, one skilled in the art would have to rely on other prior art references on ways to control the delay chain circuit using the output signal from the phase comparator. Sharman et al teaches that a phase detector may produce an output signal whose duty cycle represents the phase difference as one alternative. Furthermore, Debral teaches using a duty-cycle modulated signal to control a variable delay circuit. Since Sharman et al and Debral teaches a specific method to a generally-described function of Tamural et al, there certainly is a motivation to combine the teachings of these references. Applicant fails to argue in this correspondence why the combination as proposed by examiner in the previous Office actionand reiterated here, would not have occurred. Without such an argument, it is not believed that applicant's position that examiner failed to establish a prima facie case of obviousness is supported.

STEPHEN CHIN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600